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ABSTRACT

Purpose: To raise authentically creative individuals, it is compulsory to employ contemporary reading methods. Although critical reading, which is the main medium for accessing the right knowledge, is defined as a sub-category for creative reading in which high cognitive processes are involved, the connection between these has not been clearly put forward. This study aimed at examining the effect of critical reading skills of 5th grade learners on their evaluation skills of creative reading process, and the role of gender in this effect through structural equation modelling.

Research Methods: The study was supported by relational screening model. The study group was formed by simple random sampling and composed of 265 5th grade learners. The data were collected through the Scale for the Evaluation of Creative Reading Process and the Critical Reading Scale. SPSS 23, AMOS 22.0, and structural equation modeling were utilized for data analysis. Maximum Likelihood method was selected in the estimation of parameters related to models which were formed for examining the effect of critical reading skills on the evaluation of creative reading process and the role of gender. To this end, fit indices ($\chi^2$/df, CFI, GFI, TLI, NFI, IFI, RMSEA, and SRMR) were used.

Findings: The study demonstrated that all hypotheses models were valid; critical reading skills explained the evaluation skills of creative reading process by 57%, and that they had a direct and strong impact on the evaluation skills of the critical reading process. In the female-learner model, critical reading skills affected the evaluation skills by 43%, whereas the male-learner model explained this effect by 67%. In addition, both models bear a direct and strong impact.

Implications for Research and Practice: The results of the study reveal that critical reading skill is a significant predictor for the evaluation of the creative reading process. It can be stated that the evaluation skills of the creative reading process may improve in conjunction with the development of critical reading skills.
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Introduction

In contemporary society, the medium of reading has changed from written and published form to a digital dimension. Moreover, this concept has been replaced by the concept of literacy. The individual, with an infinite number of opportunities of access to knowledge in this century, has also changed his/her perception of reading. This change has transcended the reality perceived through five senses and made it a prerequisite to raise individuals who can read everything as a book. In contemporary societies, the main aim is to raise individuals that can think critically and creatively, invent and discover, have a vision and pioneer for change (Balta & Demirel, 2012). This line of thought can be gained through knowledge, and reading constitutes one of the tools for obtaining knowledge. A creative and basic language skill, reading per se is also a creative process. (Dunn, 1979; Turkel & Unlucomert, 2013).

Reading, which is a life-long skill (Wang, 2006), is actualized through five steps: obtaining knowledge, comprehension, continuity, critical and creative reading (Uzun, 2009). This is also reflected in the evolution of the education system. Whereas the behavioral approach aims at developing open reading skills, the cognitive approach focuses on developing critical reading skills. On the other hand, the constructivist approach addresses interaction with the knowledge and creating new knowledge, and therefore is concentrated on the creative reading process (Yurdakal & Susar Kirmizi, 2017).

Creative reading is a high-level reading skill and the re-construction of a text through interpreting it based on individual experiences and imagination (Sever, 2010). Referred to as weaving of a text or enclosing it with imagination, the re-construction of a text (Yavuz, 2010) goes beyond memorization (Ipsioglu, 2000) and aims at mobilizing learner creativity by building connections with the text (Catuksoken, 2007). In another parlance, the important thing in this type of reading is to notice the invisible in the text, which awaits to be reshaped (Moorman & Ram, 1994; Padgett, 1997). Therefore, the text is reconstructed in mind through imagination and individuals go beyond the content of the text (Torrance, 1970). Thereafter, comprehension, understanding, questioning and familiarization with content and creativity skills are activated (Kasap & Susar Kirmizi, 2017; Nardelli, 2013). It aims at high-level thinking and comprehension skills (Hizir, 2014).

Creative reading is composed of divergent and convergent reading. Convergent creative reading is concentrated on meeting the individual’s and writer’s thoughts on common ground. On the other hand, divergent reading, which is the main aim of creative reading, is aimed at producing extraordinary, unique and creative ideas by using the writer’s ideas (Smith, 1965). Asking quite surprising and unexpected questions is the most important assistant to this process (Yurdakal, 2018). Divergent questions help the individual think flexibly with a broader perspective without focusing on one truth only (Hizir, 2018) because contemporary information societies pay importance to interact with the text through metacognitive skills and reconstructing the text rather than learning or memorizing content (Yurdakal & Susar Kirmizi, 2017).
It is important to create a comfortable learning-teaching environment in order to keep curiosity alive at all times (Anselmo, 1984; Small & Arnone, 2011). Text selection and reading it aloud constitute some of the important points of this process. The teacher should read the selected literary text as a film artist. In this way, the learners are enabled to benefit from the musicality of the text and enjoy the content (Yurdakal & Susur Kirmizi, 2017 as cited in Mearns, 1958). Besides the joy of listening, the learners should be drawn closer to the text by means of intermittent divergent questions (Aytan, 2016). The teacher should assume the role of an usher in this process by directing questions that enable imagination and extraordinary thoughts during creative reading (Smith, 1974).

Creative reading enables individuals to understand the subject matter and words correctly, comprehend literary meanings, integrate past experiences with ideas, discover covert meanings and connections through symbols, develop new ideas and apply these to new fields (Hızır, 2014 as cited in Witty, 1974). In this context, creative reading acts as an effective method that improves learners’ attitudes towards reading (Yurdakal, 2018), decreases reading anxieties, improves comprehension during the reading process, teaches multidimensional thinking, develops imagination, and creates a culture of reading (Yılmaz, 2009).

Focusing on creativity which is a mysterious and an extremely individual phenomenon (John, 2004), creative reading attempts to understand the concepts beyond the content and comprehend the unseen connections between them through imagination reveal the secret and covert beauties of life as a self-creation process, and reach the best (Ramsey, 1930). In this way, the individual is enabled to renew and develop by adapting to the continuous changes in life.

Critical Reading

Referred to as reading through thinking, critical reading (Gelen, 2003) is reconsidering a text with an impartial perspective and instinct (Devine, 1986), questioning, analyzing, evaluating and interpreting a text in depth and detail (Sahin, 2011; Özdemir, 2007). While questioning the text with an impartial perspective, the individual is enabled to find his/her own truths among the available correct information (Wheeler, 2007; Yıldız, 2008). Foremost, the texts have to be examined and clarified and assessed by analyzing the written symbols and deep meanings in the text without reference to its content (Criscuolo, 1975). In other words, critical reading aims at discovering and evaluating what is beyond the text by means of objective and in-depth thinking (Unal, 2006) in order to find not only the embedded meanings but also meanings hidden in between the lines (Cervetti, Pardeles & Damico, 2001; Maker & Lenier, 1996). In critical reading, readers are expected to study the sources, identify author’s purpose, evaluate these, distinguish the truths, and form their own judgments (Adali, 2010; Unalan, 2006).

Critical reading, which is the discovery of information and thoughts in a text, is an active and participatory process (Kurland, 2000). This process focuses on high-level comprehension of the text through the individual’s interpretation and evaluation skills and drawing logical conclusions by approaching the truths as much as possible
Because a text is not only a construct composed of sentences but also a metalinguistic association, the individual decides to evaluate the content of texts at his/her own free will by using this process (Unal, 2006).

Since the author may have flaws in his knowledge and the same individuals may have different perspectives on the same subject, this line of thinking aims at evaluating the various dimensions in that field (Rautman, 2014). Thanks to the perspective gained through critical reading, the individual does not make absolute judgments and realizes that the truth is multidimensional, that it is a situation- and place-dependent, and that there might be alternative explanations in every phenomenon and situation. In another parlance, after going beyond the symbolic dimension of reading, s/he is mentally liberated from the control of the text and the writer and travels into meaning (Asilioğlu, 2008). Therefore, it is necessary to actively use high-level mind activities in the comprehension, evaluation and interpretation processes of reading (Criscuolo, 1975). In addition, the real message of a literary text is indirectly provided with the reader (Adali, 2010) as one of the main qualities of this text is polysemy. Besides the overt meaning of a text, there is also a deep semantic structure constructed through metalinguistic co-occurrences. This structure is comprised of layers in itself. For this reason, literary texts can be re-interpreted and draw on new meanings on different levels (Aktas, 2009). What is expected of the reader is to understand and discover the unsaid based on what is said in the text (Yurtseven Uze, 2010).

It is an indispensable prerequisite to acquire critical reading skills to access accurate information and use this effectively in the right place in this era of limitless information flow (Cheu-jey, 2016; Kuta, 2008) because it is necessary to have not only good reading but also critical reading skills in order to perceive the changes brought by life and adapt to them (McDonalds & Trautman, 2006). Furthermore, critical reading skills - through the broad lens it provides-function as spectacles that can enable equal participation in life despite the economic, social and cultural diversity (Comber, Nixon, Ashmore, Loo & Cook, 2006). Therefore, critical reading skills assume significant functions in today’s education system. Within this vein, studies confirm that activities based on critical reading skills provide better success rates in reading comprehension, reading attitude, and the development of critical thinking skills when compared to other in-class activities (Bayraktar, 2012; Isik, 2010; Karabay, 2012; Ozensoy, 2011; Unal, 2006).

**Conceptual Relation Between Variables**

In the literature on reading, reading is classified into three categories, such as creative, open, and critical reading (Edwin, 1965; Morris, 1972). According to Smith (1965), reading is divided into three categories, which are receptive, critical and creative reading. It is essential to reveal the position and views in the text, whereas critical reading requires a critical analysis of these views and thoughts. Having passed these two levels successfully, the learner arrives at the creative reading level (Yurdakal, 2018). This structure demonstrates that critical reading is the dimension prior to creative reading. However, some researchers categorize creative reading within critical and receptive reading (Adams, 1968; Gainsburg 1961). It is a reading...
type which is generally combined with critical reading (Edwin, 1965) but encapsulates skills higher than critical reading (Adams, 1968; Walter, 1974). In other words, critical reading is one of the steps of creative reading and the techniques used in critical reading are compatible with each other (Yurdakal, 2018). Reading comprehension, critical analysis of text content and affective commitment components demonstrate the perspective of critical reading (Morris, 1972; Nardelli & Nardelli, 1955). Moreover, the fundamental concepts of this reading type- respect, empathy, authenticity and divergent thinking- are reflections of the authenticity of critical thinking. Nevertheless, critical thinking is imbued with reasoning, whereas imagination and free association are in the forefront in creative reading. Namely, creative reading uncovers not only the ideas in the text but also the readers’ ideas through the inclusion of imagination in the process (Yurdakal, 2018).

Based on the definitions and classifications in the literature, it can be stated that critical thinking is a reading type that constitutes an important step of creative reading and is itself nested in creative reading. In the creative reading process, text and life are combined. In addition, there is also a complex interaction in which original thinking ways and cognitive processes are also combined. The different techniques used in this process attract learners’ attention, increase their curiosity and enable learners to use their imagination more. Besides activating imagination, creative thinking skills also contribute to the development of creative thinking skills. This skill is one of the basic skills to be included in the Turkish Language Teaching Syllabus (2017), along with 21st-century skills (Yurdakal, 2018). There is a positive two-way relation between reading and creativity. Individuals with high creativity skills are more successful in the reading process, and individuals with developed reading skills are more creative (Harris & Sipay, 1990; Ritchie et al., 2013). The reading material is shaped and interpreted through the creative imagination in creative reading (Ramsey, 1930). In this way, imagination is activated and learners develop their creativity and imagination through techniques that can take them beyond the text (Wang, 2006). Using their imagination and generating extraordinary ideas increase learner motivation and improve learner attitudes towards reading lessons (Morris, 1972). Freedom and extraordinariness constitute some of the basic features of creative reading which contribute to learning enjoyably, developing learners’ comprehension and learning skills since reading is actualized in a flexible environment (Wang, 2006).

Despite the positive sides of creative reading, there is a limited number of studies focusing on creative reading in Turkey. The existing studies examine the effects of creative reading activities on developing creative reading skills (Aytan, 2014a; Turkel & Unlucomert, 2013; Uzun, Bozkurt & Erdogan, 2011; Yurdakal, 2018), reading comprehension (Kasap, 2019) and creative writing skills (Kasap, 2019; Susar Kirmizi & Kasap, 2017), creativity (Hizir, 2014), attitudes towards reading (Yilmaz, 2009). Furthermore, there also exist various studies on the measuring tools (Kasap & Susar Kirmizi, 2017; Yurdakal & Susar Kirmizi, 2017) for creative reading and the theoretical dimension of creative reading (Aytan, 2014b; Aytan, 2014a; Catuksoken, 2007; Ipsiroglu, 2000; Uzun, 2009). Foreign researchers conducted various theoretical studies on the effectiveness of creative reading activities (Andresen & Pawlak, 1976; Barrett,
In textbooks, creative reading is not allocated sufficient space and theoretically handled (Edwin, 1965). Therefore, learners do not engage in creative reading activities sufficiently (Martin & Cramond, 1983). However, it is necessary to include creative reading in school syllabi to develop learners’ reading skills more effectively (Adams, 1968). Creative reading - a method that can be applied to any age group (Moorman & Ram, 1994) - should be allocated necessary space in textbooks (Dawson, 2005) and included in teaching programs and syllabi (Adams, 1968; Martin & Cramond, 1983; Wang, 2006). It can be stated that creative reading as a concept is allocated space within themes and topic examples as of 2017. Within this scope, it can be stated that studies to be conducted on creative reading may make positive contributions to the existing literature. In addition, there exists no study examining the relationship between critical and creative reading, which are two of the stages of creative reading. All the same, creative reading is one of the most neglected reading styles in which high cognitive processes are activated (Dunn, 1979; Gainsburg 1961; Smith, 1974). It is considered that studying the relationship between creative and critical reading can make positive contributions to the existing literature in order to enable more effective use of this reading style. Due to a limited number of evaluation tools for creative reading (Kasap & Susar Kirmizi, 2017; Yurdakal & Susar Kirmizi, 2017) this study was conducted solely on 5th graders. It is thought that this study will have positive contributions to the development of basic, critical and creative reading skills. Based on the literature review, a model was created to examine the effect of critical reading skills on the evaluation of creative reading process. Figure 1 shows the abovementioned model.

**Figure 1. Research Model**

Within the frame of the abovementioned research model, this study aims at identifying the effect of 5th graders’ critical reading skills on the evaluation of creative reading process, and the role of gender on this. The following are the hypotheses to be tested.

**H\textsubscript{1}:** 5th graders’ critical reading skills predict their evaluation skills of the creative reading process significantly.

**H\textsubscript{2}:** Critical reading skills of male learners predict their evaluation skills of the creative reading process significantly.
**H2:** Critical reading skills of female learners predict their evaluation skills of the creative reading process significantly.

**Method**

**Research Design**

This study aimed at identifying the effect of 5th graders’ critical reading skills on the evaluation of creative reading process, and the role of gender in this. It utilized relational screening model. In this model, the aim is to identify the relations between two or more variables as well as the existence and/or degree of change (Karasar, 2011).

**Study Group**

The study group was formed by means of a simple random sampling method. The participants were comprised of 265 learners who were enrolled as 5th graders in three different primary schools in the province of Rize. 127 (47.9%) of participants were male, whereas 138 (52.1%) were female.

**Data Collection**

After the required permissions were taken for data collection, the study was conducted with 5th-grade learners in three different primary schools. In order not to have any problems, the learners were provided with the necessary information before the study, and it took 45 minutes to apply the scales in each and every class.

**Data Collection Tools**

The data was collected by means of the Critical Reading Scale and Evaluation of the Creative Reading Process. The results regarding the validity and reliability of these scales are as follows:

**Critical Reading Scale**

The Critical Reading Scale, which was developed by Unal (2006), is used for identifying levels of critical reading skills. This measurement tool was prepared in 5-point Likert style as “always (5)”, “generally (4)”, “sometimes (3)”, “rarely (2)” and “never (1)”. An exploratory factor analysis was conducted in relation to the scale. The scale is comprised of one dimension and 22 items, and the Cronbach Alpha reliability coefficient was estimated at .86 for the whole scale. The reliability and validity analysis of the Critical Reading Scale can be found below.

**Reliability Analysis**

In order to determine the reliability of the Critical Reading Scale, the Cronbach Alpha internal consistency coefficient was estimated. As a result of this analysis, the internal reliability coefficient for the abovementioned scale was estimated at .81

**Confirmatory Factor Analysis:** The confirmatory factor analysis was conducted to identify whether the factor structures in the original Critical Reading Scale were confirmed or not. Figure 2 (Path Diagram) shows the results of confirmatory factor analysis (CFA).
The confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) of scale validity, it can be stated that goodness of fit indices are on an acceptable level for the model which was created with the four-factor structure of the scale (Hu & Bentler, 1999; Joreskog & Sorbom, 1993; Kline, 2011; Sumer, 2000). These analyses demonstrated that the factor structures in the original form of the scale were also confirmed for this study and the scale had a sufficient level of validity.

Scale for the Evaluation of Creative Reading Process

The Scale for Evaluation of the Creative Reading Process was prepared for participants’ self-evaluation in the creative reading process. The scale was prepared in 5-point Likert style as “always (5),” “generally (4),” “sometimes (3),” “rarely (2),” and “never (1).” The confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) of the scale shows that it is comprised of three dimensions and 28 items. The first dimension of the scale is “divergent thinking” whilst “communication with the author and characters” constitute the second dimension. The third dimension, on the other hand, is named “reconstruction of the text.” Factor load values of the items in the first dimension varied between .95 and .53; the factor load values of the second dimension was .89 and .70; factor load values of the third dimension varied between .76 and .43. Cronbach Alpha reliability coefficient was estimated at .86.

The scale for the Evaluation of Creative Reading Process was assessed in terms of validity and reliability, and the relevant analyses can be found below.
Reliability Analysis

Cronbach Alpha coefficient for internal consistency was estimated to identify the reliability of the Scale for Evaluation of the Creative Reading Process. As a result of this analysis, Cronbach Alpha coefficient for internal consistency was estimated at .81 for “divergent thinking” dimension; .86 for “communication with the author and characters”, .81 “reconstruction of the text”, and .88 for the whole scale.

Confirmatory Factor Analysis

Figure 2 shows the path diagram which demonstrates the results of CFA conducted in order to identify whether the original factor structures were confirmed within the frame of the study or not.

![Path Diagram](image)

**Figure 3. Path Diagram-The Scale for Evaluation of Creative Reading Process**

The results of confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) demonstrated that the abovementioned scale is comprised of a four-factor structure and that it was also confirmed for this study. The goodness of fit indices were estimated at $\chi^2/df=1.85$ ($p<.01$), $GFI=.85$, $CFI=.90$, $IFI=.90$, $TLI=.90$; $RMSEA=.05$, $SRMR=.05$. According to the results of the confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) of scale validity, it can be stated that goodness of fit indices are on an acceptable level for the model which was created with the four-factor structure of the scale (Hu & Bentler, 1999; Joreskog & Sorbom, 1993; Kline, 2011; Sumer, 2000). These analyses demonstrated that the factor structures in the original form of the scale were also confirmed for this study and the scale had a sufficient level of validity.

Data Analysis

For data analysis, SPSS 23 and AMOS 22.0 were utilized. Frequency, percentage, mean values, kurtosis and skewness values were estimated for descriptive statistics.
and demographic variables. A Structural equation modeling (SEM), an increasingly significant model in social sciences, was used for the estimation of descriptive statistics regarding the variables and assessment of the links between variables. Prior to SEM analysis, a two-stage method was utilized for determining whether the data support the model (Joreskog & Sorbom, 1993; Meydan & Sesen, 2011). Primarily, the scales were pre-examined, and inadequate and unreliably completed scales were identified. Thereafter, the scales were numerically classified. To determine the validity and the reliability, scale-DFAs were applied to the factor structures of scales in the first stage. DFA results of each scale were provided in the sections in which scale-related information is given. It was also put forward that the scales conformed to the resulting data and that the original factor structures were also confirmed for this study.

In the second stage, significant relations between the model structures were assessed. Prior to the start of the SEM analysis, hypotheses regarding the analysis were controlled. The sample size required for this analysis, multivariate normality and multi-collinearity hypotheses were tested. It is sufficient to have 100-150 sample size recommended for the SEM (Kline, 2011).

Skewness and kurtosis values for each variable were estimated for univariate normality, which is a prerequisite to meet the hypothesis of multivariate normality (Kline, 2011). The coefficient of Mardia’s normalized multivariate kurtosis was estimated for the examination of the multivariate normality hypothesis (Raykov & Marcoulides, 2008). The complete conformity of the model to the dataset was examined subsequent to the confirmation of the hypotheses about the dataset. Maximum Likelihood was selected for the analysis of parameter estimation in SEM. $\chi^2$/df, CFI, GFI, TLI, NFI, IFI, RMSEA and SRMR fit indexes were used for assessing the conformity of the model following the analyses. Figure 1 shows the interpretation and conformity of fit indexes to the model (Hu & Bentler, 1999; Klem, 2000; Kline, 2011).

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fit Indices</th>
<th>Perfect Fit</th>
<th>Acceptable Fit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\chi^2$/sd</td>
<td>0 ≤ $\chi^2$/sd ≤ 2</td>
<td>2 &lt; $\chi^2$/sd ≤ 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSEA</td>
<td>0 ≤ RMSEA ≤ .05</td>
<td>.05 &lt; RMSEA ≤ .08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRMR</td>
<td>0 ≤ SRMR ≤ .05</td>
<td>.05 ≤ SRMR ≤ .10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFI</td>
<td>0.95 ≤ IFI &lt; 1.00</td>
<td>0.90 ≤ IFI &lt; 0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLI</td>
<td>0.95 ≤ TLI &lt; 1.00</td>
<td>0.90 ≤ TLI &lt; 0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFI</td>
<td>0.95 ≤ CFI &lt; 1.00</td>
<td>0.90 ≤ CFI &lt; 0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFI</td>
<td>0.95 ≤ GFI &lt; 1.00</td>
<td>0.90 ≤ GFI &lt; 0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFI</td>
<td>0.95 ≤ NFI &lt; 1.00</td>
<td>0.90 ≤ NFI &lt; 0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results

The findings of the study were presented in two sections. The first section laid out descriptive statistics, whereas the second section dealt with variables included in the structural equation modeling and estimations regarding the relations between these variables, exploratory rates and model compliance of the variables.

Descriptive Statistics Related to Model Variables

Table 2 shows the descriptive statistics related to the variables (DT, CW, RT, SCR) in the research model.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DT</th>
<th>CW</th>
<th>RT</th>
<th>SCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All group</td>
<td>M 42.56</td>
<td>25.08</td>
<td>38.16</td>
<td>80.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>8.99</td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td>8.58</td>
<td>14.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>-.57</td>
<td>-.45</td>
<td>-.58</td>
<td>-.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>-.20</td>
<td>-.42</td>
<td>-.12</td>
<td>.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>M 41.24</td>
<td>24.34</td>
<td>36.92</td>
<td>77.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>9.43</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>8.99</td>
<td>16.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>-.63</td>
<td>-.46</td>
<td>-.62</td>
<td>-.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>-.55</td>
<td>-.13</td>
<td>.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>M 43.78</td>
<td>25.76</td>
<td>39.90</td>
<td>83.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>8.41</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>12.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>-.41</td>
<td>-.28</td>
<td>-.46</td>
<td>-.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>-.88</td>
<td>-.67</td>
<td>-.78</td>
<td>.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scale for the Evaluation of Creative Reading Process (DT: Divergent Thinking, CW: Communication with Writer and Characters, RT: Reconstruction of Text, SCR: Scale for Critical Reading)

According to Table 2, the arithmetic means of all variables in the research model (DT, CW, RT, SCR) were between 25.76 and 83.11 which are above the median level of relevant score interval. This demonstrated that participant averages of measured qualities was positive. The standard deviation values demonstrated that they were close to average numbers. For the hypothesis of univariate normality of data, it is required that the skewness and kurtosis values of the variables should not be greater than |3.0| and |10.0|, respectively (Kline, 2011). In the light of this information, it was observed that the skewness values of these variables changed between -.28 and -.71 whereas the kurtosis values showed a change between -.01 and .88. These findings showed that univariate normality of the data was proven. To determine whether the hypothesis of multivariate normality is proven, coefficient of Mardia’s normalized multivariate kurtosis was estimated and this value was estimated at 4.31, 5.13, 2.82 respectively for all groups (the whole sample, male, female). To prove the hypothesis related to this distribution, the critical value for multivariate normality was estimated to be 15 according to the equation \((p(p+2) / (p: number of observed variables))\) proposed by Raykov and Marcoulides (2008). According to Raykov and Marcoulides (2008), it is necessary that the value obtained from the equation for the multivariate normality
should be greater than the coefficient of kurtosis. It was seen that the hypothesis of multivariate normality was proven as the value obtained from the equation (15) was greater than the coefficients of kurtosis (4.31, 5.13, 2.82).

Findings Related to Measurement Model

Figure 2 shows the results (a, b, c) of the test on the models (whole-sample, male, female) related to the impact of 5th-grade learners’ critical reading skills on their attitude towards the evaluation of the creative reading process.
According to the results of the whole-sample model ($\chi^2/df=5.07; \text{GFI}=98; \text{CFI}=99; \text{AGFI}=90; \text{IFI}=99; \text{RMSEA}=12; \text{SRMR}=0.02$), male-learner model ($\chi^2/df=3.17; \text{GFI}=97; \text{CFI}=99; \text{AGFI}=87; \text{IFI}=99; \text{RMSEA}=13; \text{SRMR}=0.02$) and female learner model ($\chi^2/df=2.07; \text{GFI}=98; \text{CFI}=99; \text{AGFI}=92; \text{IFI}=99; \text{RMSEA}=40; \text{SRMR}=0.02$) it can be said that the goodness of fit indexes were on an acceptable levels in the all-sample variable model. As a result of the structural model test, it was observed that the factor loads related to the latent variable of creative reading varied between .81 and .88 in the all-sample model, .80 and .89 in male-learner model, and .82 and .87 in female-learner model. Table 3 shows the results from the structural equation models.

**Table 3**

*Standardized Regression Weight Results of the Effect of Creative Reading Skills on the Evaluation of Creative Reading Process*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Path</th>
<th>All Group</th>
<th>Gender Male</th>
<th>Gender Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Critical Reading</td>
<td>Evaluating Creative Reading</td>
<td>Critical Reading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>$\text{Estimate}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Critical Reading</td>
<td>.82</td>
<td>.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>.37</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
According to Table 3, three hypotheses tested in the frame of the models were all statistically supported by the data and all hypotheses were supported. In the whole-sample model, it was observed that critical reading skills positively and significantly predicted the skills for evaluating creative reading ($\beta= .75$, $p<.01$) and hypothesis $H_1$ was supported. In the male-learner model, it was seen that critical reading skills positively and significantly predicted the skills for evaluating creative reading ($\beta= .82$, $p<.01$) and hypothesis $H_2$ was supported. In the female-learner model, critical reading skills positively and significantly predicted the skills for evaluating creative reading ($\beta= .65$, $p<.01$) and hypothesis $H_3$ was supported.

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>Estimator</th>
<th>Standardized Estimates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All group</td>
<td></td>
<td>Evaluating Creative Reading</td>
<td>.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Table 4, it was seen that critical reading skills had a direct and total high-level impact (.75) on the skills for evaluation of creative reading in the whole-sample model, and explained 57% of the variance of the evaluation of the creative reading process. It was seen in the male-learner model that critical reading skills had a direct and high-level total impact (.81) on the evaluation of the creative reading process, and that it explained 67% of the variance of the evaluation of the creative reading process. It was observed in the female-learner model that critical reading bear a direct and high-level total impact (.65) on the evaluation of the creative reading process and that it explained 43% of the variance of evaluation of the creative reading process.

### Discussion, Conclusion and Recommendations

The hypotheses in this study were analyzed through structural equation modeling. Model hypotheses were generated based on the relevant literature and tested. It has been concluded that all models are valid. All three hypotheses were supported. In the whole-sample model, creative reading skills explained 57% of the variance of the evaluation of the creative reading process; 43% of the same variance in the female-learner model, whereas it explained 67% of the abovementioned variance in the male-learner model. According to these findings, critical reading skills is a significant predictor for the evaluation skills of the creative reading process, and there is a strong and positive correlation between critical reading skills and evaluation skills of the creative reading process. Upon examining the exploratory rates related to the models, the highest exploratory rate is observed in the male-learner model. Whole sample and female-learner models follow this model, respectively. Departing from these findings,
it can be said creative and critical reading are correlated. This overlaps with the results
of myriad studies that point out that there is a correlation between critical and creative
reading (Adams, 1968; Edwin, 1965; Morris, 1972; Smith, 1965). Moreover, research
demonstrates that critical and creative reading are intertwined concepts, and it can be
stated that critical and creative reading activities should be implemented in
conjunction with each other in order to develop reading skills.

Considering the impact level of the results, critical reading skills have a positive
and high impact on the evaluation of the creative reading process in the whole sample
model. In gender-based models, these skills have a positive and high impact in both
models. However, in the male-learner model, this impact is higher compared to the
female-learner model. Based on these findings, it is observed that an improvement in 5th
grade-learners’ critical reading skills enhances the evaluation skills of the creative
reading process. Moreover, this impact creates a higher-level interaction in male
learners. In the literature, there are no studies on the effect of critical reading on
creative reading skills and the role of gender in this effect. In addition, there exist some
studies which are more theoretical and investigate the relations between other
variables (Aytan, 2014a; Barrett, 2001; Catuksoken, 2007; Dollins, 2016; Hizir, 2014;
Kasap, 2019; Ritchie et al., 2013; Small & Arnone, 2011; Tuzlukova, Eltayeb & Gilhooly,
Nevertheless, no studies on the role of gender were encountered. In a study by
Sadioglu and Bilgin (2008) on 5th-grade learners, levels of critical reading in female
learners demonstrate significant differences compared to male learners’ critical
reading skills. In terms of critical reading and affective variables related to critical
reading, there exist various studies which find significant differences in support of
female learners (Akyol, 2011; Guven & Cam Aktas, 2013; Ozmutlu, Gurler, Kaymak &
Demir, 2014; Sadioglu & Bilgin, 2008; Yalinkilic & Celik, 2011). Nonetheless, a study
by Ozdemir (2017) concludes that there are no significant differences in self-evaluation
of critical reading based on gender, and some other studies also support this result
(Altunsoz, 2016; Emiroglu, 2014; Gunduz, 2015; Yayli & Ulper, 2011). Reading more is
regarded as one of the important factors which may explain better critical reading
skills in female learners (Sadioglu & Bilgin, 2008). Critical reading takes place if an
individual has sufficient vocabulary to comprehend a text and intellectual competence
to evaluate the text (Guleryuz, 2004). This is also supported by studies that suggest
that comprehension skills in female learners are more developed than in male learners
(Orhan, 2007). However, this study does not overlap with various studies in the
literature considering the fact that critical reading skills in male learners have a higher
exploratory rate and impact on the evaluation skills of the creative reading process. In
this case, the effect of critical reading skills on creative reading can be investigated in
various studies. In this way, these gender-based differences can be minimized and
these skills can be employed most competently.

Another finding is that critical reading skills have a direct positive impact on the
creative reading process in all models. It can be stated that improved critical reading
skills may enhance the evaluation skills of the critical reading process in both the
whole sample and gender-based models.
It can be asserted that these two reading styles (Yurdakal, 2018) are parallel to each other in terms of the techniques used in research, and that creative reading includes higher-level skills than critical reading (Walter, 1974). Within this context, it can be predicted that improved critical reading skills will enhance both creative reading (a high-level reading type) and basic reading skills. Research shows that improved critical reading skills contribute to the development of reading comprehension and attitude (Karabay, 2012; Unal, 2006) as well as critical reading skills (Isik, 2010; Ozensoy, 2011; Senturk, 2009), and that lessons become more functional with critical reading activities (Bayraktar, 2012; Karabay, 2012; Ozensoy, 2011). Furthermore, there is a positive correlation between critical reading skills and academic success in Turkish classes (Cam, 2006). In a similar vein, the development of creative reading skills bears an impact on reading skills. In their study on primary school learners, Martin and Cramond (1983) assert that creative reading practices make positive contributions to student likes for reading. Creative reading activities (Uzun, Bozkurt & Erdogan, 2011; Witty, 1974 cited in Hizir, 2014; Yurdakal, 2018) develop learning and comprehension skills (Wang, 2006), and function as an effective method for creating a reading culture and attitude as well as developing creative thinking skills (Ramsey, 1930; Wang, 2006). Learners with developed reading skills broaden their horizons (Harris & Sipay, 1990; Ritche et al., 2013), contribute to their learning through fun (Wang, 2006) and therefore have enhanced attitudes and motivation for lessons (Morris, 1972). Based on these conclusions, it can be asserted that critical and creative reading makes important contributions to the development of reading skills. Although there are references to the concept of creative reading in the Turkish Teaching Program (2018), the concept of critical reading is not included in the same program. Assorted studies assert that it is important to include critical reading in the syllabus (Wolf, King & Huck, 1968; Rogers et al., 1985). Therefore, creative and critical reading should be handled as a separate subject in Turkish lessons, and samples for both reading types should be included in the coursebooks, and the level should be progressively adjusted according to class level. In this way, competence (Turkish Teaching Program 2018) in creative and linguistic interaction in the mother tongue will be improved.

Considering the findings of the study as a whole, it can be asserted that critical reading and evaluation skills of creative reading are interrelated variables and that the development of critical reading skills is required for the development of creative reading skills. For this reason, an increase in the number of critical reading activities included in the syllabus and Turkish lessons may contribute to the development of creative reading skills. Based on the exploratory rates of 57% in the whole model, 43% in the female-learner model, and 67% in the male-learner model, it may also be stated that critical reading still needs explanation in some aspects in all models. This limitation may be overcome through the inclusion of other variables in the existing models and the unexplained parts can be investigated. In addition, due to the limitations in measurement tools, the validity of the model applied to 5th-grade learners can also be tested with broader studies, which include secondary school level as well as studies on different sample levels. Besides experimental studies on variables that affect creative and critical reading skills, qualitative studies, which increase the effectiveness of practices and analyze the faulting aspects of the process, may also be
administered. As a result, it is considered that the use of critical and creative reading activities in lessons may contribute to better success rates. In this way, the upcoming generations will become more successful by means of better critical and creative reading skills as well as higher-level linguistic development.
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ELEŞTİREL OKUMA BECERİLERİNİN YARATıCı OKUMA SÜRECİNİ DEĞERLENDİRME BECERİLERİ ÜZERINDEKİ ETKİSİ

Atıf:

ÖZET

Yaratıcılığın bütün ihtiyaçlarıyla sergilediği bu yüzylılda oldukça ön plana çakişmasının yanı sıra 21. yüzyıl yeterlilikleri içinde de en görkemli yerlerden birine sahiptir (Yurdakal, 2018).


**Araştırmannın Amacı:** Bu çalışma, ortaokul 5. sınıf öğrencilerinin eleştirel ve yaratıcı okuma becerilerinin geliştildiği alanın olumlu etkisini inceleyerek, eleştirel okuma becerisi ve yaratıcı okuma becerisi arasındaki ilişkileri araştırılmaktadır.

**Araştırmannın Yöntemi:** Bu araştırma, tarama modellerinden ilişkisel tarama modelinde gerçekleştirilmiştir. Ortakokul 5. sınıf öğrencilerinin eleştirel ve yaratıcı okuma becerilerinin gelişiminde ortaya çıkan etkiler ve bu etkilerin cinsiyet değişkeni üzerindeki etkileri araştırılmıştır. Çalışma grubunun demografik özellikleri incelendiğinde; 127'i (%47.9) erkek, 138'i (%52.1) kız öğrencidir. Araştırmada değişkenlerin ilişkisini analizlemek için YEM analizi yaygın olarak kullanılan iki aşamalı yöntem kullanılmıştır.

**Araştırmannın Bulguları:** Araştırmada, hipotez modellerin tümünün geçerli olduğu; eleştirel okuma becerisinin, yaratıcı okuma sürecini değerlendirme becerisinin %57'sini açıkladığı, yaratıcı okuma becerisi, yaratıcı okuma becerisinin doğrudan ve yüksek bir etki oluşturduğu tespit edilmiştir. Kız öğrenciler üzerindeki etkilerin cinsiyet değişkeni üzerindeki etkileri analiz edilmiştir. Bu etkinin cinsiyet değişkeni üzerindeki etkisi analiz edilmiştir. Araştırmada, eleştirel okuma becerisinin, yaratıcı okuma becerisinin üzerinde doğrudan ve yüksek bir etki oluşturduğu tespit edilmiştir. Bir dizi bulguda, eleştirel okuma becerisinin, yaratıcı okuma becerisinin üzerinde doğrudan ve yüksek bir etki oluşturduğu tespit edilmiştir.

**Araştırmannın Sonuçları ve Öneriler:** Araştırmada, eleştirel okuma becerisinin, yaratıcı okuma becerisinin ve eleştirel okuma becerisinin üzerinde doğrudan ve yüksek bir etki oluşturduğu tespit edilmiştir. Araştırmada, yaratıcı okuma becerisinin, eleştirel okuma becerisinin ve yaratıcı okuma becerisinin üzerinde doğrudan ve yüksek bir etki oluşturduğu tespit edilmiştir. Bu bulguların üzerinde Sağlam Lojistik analizleri analiz edilmiştir. Araştırmada, eleştirel okuma becerisinin, yaratıcı okuma becerisinin ve eleştirel okuma becerisinin üzerinde doğrudan ve yüksek bir etki oluşturduğu tespit edilmiştir.
değerlendirilebilir. Böylelikle birbiriyile dinamik bir ilişki içerisinde olan eleştirel okuma ve yaratıcı okuma becerisinin gelişimi ve beraberinde dil gelişimi daha üst seviyelere taşınarak daha başarılı nesiller yetişmesine olumlu katkılar sağlanabilir.

Anahtar Sözcükler: Yaratıcı okuma, eleştirel okuma, 5. sınıf, cinsiyet.